# **DSC291: Machine Learning with Few Labels**

# Unsupervised Learning

**Zhiting Hu** Lecture 19, May 17, 2024



HALICIOĞLU DATA SCIENCE INSTITUTE

## This Lecture

- Variational Autoencoders (30mins)
- Presentation #1 (10mins):
  - Zehan Li, Dense Passage Retrieval for Open-Domain Question Answering
- Presentation #2 (10mins):
  - Zhaoyang Li, BLINK : Multimodal Large Language Models Can See but Not Perceive

Google form for presentation questions and feedback:



## **Recap: Computing Gradients of Expectations**

- Loss:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)]$
- Score gradient

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{z})} [f_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{z}) \nabla_{\lambda} \log q_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{z}) + \nabla_{\lambda} f_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{z})]$$

- Pros: generally applicable to any distribution  $q(z|\lambda)$
- $\circ$  Cons: empirically has high variance  $\rightarrow$  slow convergence
- Reparameterization gradient

 $\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ 

- $\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim s(\epsilon)} [\nabla_{z} f_{\lambda}(z) \nabla_{\lambda} t(\epsilon, \lambda)]$ • Pros: empirically, lower variance of the gradient estimate  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$
- Cons: Not all distributions can be reparameterized

#### Recap: Black-box Variational Inference (BBVI)



- Easily use variational inference with **any model**
- No mathematical work beyond specifying the model
- Perform inference with massive data

(Courtesy: Blei et al., 2018)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)]$$
  
BBVI with the score gradient  $\nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)\nabla_{\lambda}\log q_{\lambda}(z) + \nabla_{\lambda}f_{\lambda}(z)]$   
• ELBO:  
 $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log p(x, z)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log q(z|\lambda)]$   
• Question: what's the score gradient w.r.t.  $\lambda$ ?  
 $\nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\nabla_{\lambda}\log q(z|\lambda)(\log p(x, z) - \log q(z|\lambda))]\lambda$   
 $\nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\nabla_{\lambda}\log q(z|\lambda)(\log p(x, z) - \log q(z|\lambda))]\lambda$   
 $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\nabla_{\lambda}\log q(z|\lambda)(\log p(x, z) - \log q(z|\lambda))]\lambda$   
 $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\nabla_{\lambda}\log q(z|\lambda)(\log p(x, z) - \log q(z|\lambda))]\lambda$   
(Ranganath et al., 14]

BBVI with the score gradient 
$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)] \\ \nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)\nabla_{\lambda}\log q_{\lambda}(z) + \nabla_{\lambda}f_{\lambda}(z)] \\ \hline \nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)\nabla_{\lambda}\log q_{\lambda}(z) + \nabla_{\lambda}f_{\lambda}(z)] \\ \hline \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log p(x,z)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log q(z|\lambda)] \\ \hline \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log p(x,z)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log q(z|\lambda)] \\ \hline \nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\nabla_{\lambda}\log q(z|\lambda)(\log p(x,z) - \log q(z|\lambda))] \\ \hline \end{array}$$

• Compute noisy unbiased gradients of the ELBO with <u>Monte Carlo samples</u> from the variational distribution

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} \approx \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \nabla_{\lambda} \log q(z_s | \lambda) (\log p(x, z_s) - \log q(z_s | \lambda)),$$
  
where  $z_s \sim q(z | \lambda)$ .

[Ranganath et al.,14]

BBVI with the  
reparameterization gradient
$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[f_{\lambda}(z)]$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim s(\epsilon)}[\nabla_{z}f_{\lambda}(z) \nabla_{\lambda}t(\epsilon, \lambda)]$$
• ELBO:  
$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log p(x, z)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[\log q(z|\lambda)]$$

• Question: what's the reparamerization gradient w.r.t.  $\lambda$  ?

$$\begin{array}{l} \epsilon \sim s(\epsilon) \\ z = t(\epsilon, \lambda) \end{array} \iff z \sim q(z|\lambda) \end{array}$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim s(\epsilon)} \left[ \nabla_{z} \left[ \log p(x, z) - \log q(z) \right] \nabla_{\lambda} t(\epsilon, \lambda) \right]$$

VAEs are a combination of the following ideas:

- Variational Inference
  - ELBO
- Variational distribution parametrized as neural networks

• Reparameterization trick

- Model  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})$ 
  - $p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z})$ : a.k.a., generative model, generator, (probabilistic) decoder, ...
  - $\circ p(\mathbf{z})$ : prior, e.g., Gaussian
- Assume variational distribution  $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ 
  - E.g., a Gaussian distribution parameterized as deep neural networks
  - a.k.a, recognition model, inference network, (probabilistic) encoder, ...

• ELBO:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}; \boldsymbol{x}) = \mathrm{E}_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{Z}|\boldsymbol{X})}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z})] + \mathrm{H}(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}))$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{Z}|\boldsymbol{X})}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z})] - \mathrm{KL}(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}) || p(\boldsymbol{z}))$$

$$\downarrow$$
Reconstruction
Divergence from prior
(KL divergence between two Guassians
has an analytic form)

• ELBO:  

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}; \boldsymbol{x}) = E_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x})}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z})] + H(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}))$$

$$= E_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x})}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z})] - KL(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}) || p(\boldsymbol{z}))$$

- Reparameterization:
  - $[\boldsymbol{\mu}; \boldsymbol{\sigma}] = f_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{x})$  (a neural network)
  - $\circ \quad z = \mu + \sigma \odot \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$



• ELBO:  

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}; \boldsymbol{x}) = E_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{Z}|\boldsymbol{x})}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z})] + H(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}))$$

$$= E_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{Z}|\boldsymbol{x})}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z})] - KL(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}) || p(\boldsymbol{z}))$$

- Reparameterization:
  - $[\boldsymbol{\mu}; \boldsymbol{\sigma}] = f_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{x})$  (a neural network)
  - $\circ \quad z = \mu + \sigma \odot \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{E}_{\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})} [\nabla_{\boldsymbol{z}} [\log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}) - \log q_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{z} | \boldsymbol{x})] \nabla_{\phi} z(\epsilon, \boldsymbol{\phi})]$$
$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{E}_{q_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{z} | \boldsymbol{x})} [\nabla_{\theta} \log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z})]$$















Generating samples:

• Use decoder network. Now sample z from prior!



[Courtesy: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n]

#### Data manifold for 2-d z



Generating samples:

• Use decoder network. Now sample z from prior!



[Courtesy: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n]

#### Data manifold for 2-d z



Vary  $z_2$ 

(head pose)

#### Example: VAEs for text

• Latent code interpolation and sentences generation from VAEs [Bowman et al., 2015].

"i want to talk to you . "
"i want to be with you . "
"i do n't want to be with you . "
i do n't want to be with you .
she did n't want to be with him .

#### Note: Amortized Variational Inference

- Variational distribution as an inference model  $q_{\phi}(z|x)$  with parameters  $\phi$  (which was traditionally factored over samples)
- Amortize the cost of inference by learning a **single** datadependent inference model
- The trained inference model can be used for quick inference on new data

## Variational Auto-encoders: Summary

- A combination of the following ideas:
  - Variational Inference: ELBO
  - Variational distribution parametrized as neural networks
  - Reparameterization trick

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}; \boldsymbol{x}) = [\log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{z})] - \mathrm{KL}(q_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{z} | \boldsymbol{x}) || p(\boldsymbol{z}))$$

Reconstruction

Divergence from prior



• Pros:

(Razavi et al., 2019)

- Principled approach to generative models
- Allows inference of q(z|x), can be useful feature representation for other tasks

#### • Cons:

- Samples blurrier and lower quality compared to GANs
- Tend to collapse on text data

## Summary: Supervised / Unsupervised Learning

- Supervised Learning
  - Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)
- Unsupervised learning
  - Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) with latent variables
    - Marginal log-likelihood
  - EM algorithm for MLE
    - ELBO / Variational free energy
  - Variational Inference
    - ELBO / Variational free energy
    - Variational distributions
      - Factorized (mean-field VI)
      - Mixture of Gaussians (Black-box VI)
      - Neural-based (VAEs)



# **Questions?**